



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Inventor(s): Steven J. Smith, Kenneth A. Schmidt and
Maxwell H. Bunshaft

Appln. No.: 10/619,726

Confirm. No.:

Filed: July 15, 2003

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR AUTOMATICALLY
UPDATING ELECTRONIC MAIL ACCESS LISTS

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit:

Examiner:

Customer No. 23910

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on Aug 22, 2003

Name: Brenda J. Dolly

Signature Date: Aug 22, 2003

(Signature)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an *Information Disclosure Statement* submitted in the parent application which complies with the September 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language

documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).

PTA Statement under 37 C.F.R. §1.704(d). Each item of information contained in the *Information Disclosure Statement* was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the *Information Disclosure Statement*.

This statement should be considered because:

37 C.F.R. §1.97(b). This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

Date: 8/22/03

By: 
Daniel J. Burns
Reg. No. 50,222

FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone (415) 362-3800




Sheet ____ of ____

(Substitute) (Serial No. 1449)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Attorney Docket Number MNDSH-01004US Serial/Patent Number 10/619,726
<i>Information Disclosure Statement</i> BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		Applicant/Patent Owner Steven J. Smith et al.
		Filing/Issue Date July 15, 2003
		Group Art Unit

U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	First Named Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	1	4,723,212	Feb. 02, 1988	Mindrum et al.			
	2	5,377,354	Dec. 27, 1994	Scannell et al.			
	3	5,424,724	Jun. 13, 1995	Williams et al.			
	4	5,487,100	Jan. 23, 1996	Kane			
	5	5,502,636	Mar. 26, 1996	Clarke			
	6	5,504,897	Apr. 02, 1996	Reilly et al.			
	7	5,619,648	Apr. 08, 1997	Canale et al.			
	8	5,740,549	Apr. 14, 1998	Reilly et al.			
	9	5,761,662	Jun. 02, 1998	Dasan			
	10	5,793,497	Aug. 11, 1998	Funk			
	11	5,793,972	Aug. 11, 1998	Shane			
	12	5,835,762	Nov. 10, 1998	Gans et al.			
	13	5,862,325	Jan. 19, 1999	Reed et al.			
	14	5,864,684	Jan. 26, 1999	Nielsen			
	15	5,893,099	Apr. 06, 1999	Schreiber et al.			
	16	5,903,723	May 11, 1999	Beck et al.			
	17	5,919,247	Jul. 06, 1999	van Hoff et al.			
	18	5,930,479	Jul. 27, 1999	Hall			
	19	5,937,162	Aug. 10, 1999	Funk et al.			
	20	5,944,787	Aug. 31, 1999	Zoken			
	21	5,948,061	Sep. 07, 1999	Merriman et al.			
	22	5,970,469	Oct. 19, 1999	Scroggie et al.			



U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	First Named Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	23	5,990,886	Nov. 23, 1999	Serdy et al.			
	24	5,999,932	Dec. 07, 1999	Paul			
	25	5,999,967	Dec. 07, 1999	Sundsted			
	26	6,014,634	Jan. 11, 2000	Scroggie et al.			
	27	6,023,723	Feb. 08, 2000	McCormick et al.			
	28	6,038,668	Mar. 14, 2000	Chipman et al.			
	29	6,044,205	Mar. 28, 2000	Reed et al.			
	30	6,044,395	Mar. 28, 2000	Costales et al.			
	31	6,052,709	Apr. 18, 2000	Paul			
	32	6,072,942	Jun. 06, 2000	Stockwell et al.			
	33	6,112,227	Aug. 29, 2000	Heiner			
	34	6,138,162	Oct. 24, 2000	Pistriotto et al.			
	35	6,161,130	Dec. 12, 2000	Horvitz et al.			
	36	6,167,435	Dec. 26, 2000	Druckenmiller et al.			
	37	6,173,311 B1	Jan. 09, 2001	Hassett et al.			
	38	6,185,541 B1	Feb. 06, 2001	Scroggie et al.			
	39	6,195,698 B1	Feb. 27, 2001	Lillibridge et al.			
	40	6,199,102 B1	Mar. 06, 2001	Cobb			
	41	6,216,127 B1	Apr. 10, 2001	Gans et al.			
	42	6,226,684 B1	May 01, 2001	Sung et al.			
	43	6,249,805 B1	Jun. 19, 2001	Fleming, III			
	44	6,266,692 B1	Jul. 24, 2001	Greenstein			
	45	6,272,536 B1	Aug. 07, 2001	van Hoff et al.			
	46	6,289,372 B1	Sep. 11, 2001	Vyaznikov			
	47	6,341,316 B1	Jan. 22, 2002	Kloba et al.			
	48	6,353,852 B1	Mar. 5, 2002	Nestoriak, III et al.			
	49	6,367,075 B1	Apr. 2, 2002	Kruger et al.			




U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	First Named Inventor	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	50	6,377,936 B1	Apr. 23, 2002	Henrick et al.			
	51	6,381,631 B1	Apr. 30, 2002	van Hoff			
	52	6,393,464 B1	May 21, 2002	Dieterman			
	53	6,421,709 B1	Jul. 16, 2002	McCormick et al.			
	54	6,421,717 B1	Jul. 16, 2002	Kloba et al.			
	55	6,430,608 B1	Aug. 06, 2002	Shaio			
	56	6,463,462 B1	Oct. 08, 2002	Smith et al.			
	57	6,546,416 B1	Apr. 08, 2003	Kirsch			
	58	6,553,412 B1	Apr. 22, 2003	Kloba et al.			

U.S. PATENT PUBLICATIONS

Examiner Initial		Patent Application Publication Number	Publication Date	Applicant
	59	2001/0051979 A1	Dec. 13, 2001	Aufrecht et al.
	60	2002/0023135 A1	Feb. 21, 2002	Shuster
	61	2002/0026484 A1	Feb. 28, 2002	Smith
	62	2002/0032722 A1	Mar. 14, 2002	Baynes, Jr., et al.
	63	2002/0052781 A1	May 02, 2002	Aufrecht et al.
	64	2002/0116463 A1	Aug. 22, 2002	Hart
	65	2002/0120496 A1	Aug. 29, 2002	Scroggie et al.
	66	2002/0143879 A1	Oct. 03, 2002	Sommerer
	67	2002/0152245 A1	Oct. 17, 2002	McCaskey et al.
	68	2002/0152272 A1	Oct. 17, 2002	Yairi
	69	2002/0199095 A1	Dec. 26, 2002	Bandini et al.
	70	2003/0014414 A1	Jan. 16, 2003	Newman
	71	2003/0028580 A1	Feb. 06, 2003	Kucherawy



PENDING U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS

Examiner Initial		Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Inventor	Petition to Expunge? Yes No
	72	10/389,419	Mar. 14, 2003	Steven J. Smith et al.	
	73	10/447,593	May 29, 2003	Steven J. Smith	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Trans-lation Yes No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)

	74	Article - "FloNetwork Inc., Prefer Network Team Up," www.emailuniverse.com/list-news/2000/10/18.html , October 18, 2000, 1 page
	75	Press Release - "Responsys.com Introduces Responsys Interact 2.0 for Permission-Based Direction on the Internet," www.responsys.com/corporate/about/details_press.asp?id=10 , dated Monday, October 25, 1999, 3 pages
	76	Press Release - "L-Soft Announces Release of LSMTPTM Version 1.1b," www.lsoft.com/news/lsmtp , dated March 1, 1999, 2 pages
	77	Internet Article - "Eight Great Lists Call Topica Home," The Leader in Email Discussions & Publishing Solutions, www.topica.com/about/index.html?mode=eight , dated July 26, 1999, 3 pages
	78	Chapman, D. B., "Majordomo: How I Manage 17 Mailing Lists Without Answering "request" Mail," 1992 LISA VI , October 19-23, 1992 - Long Beach, CA, pps. 135-143

Examiner	Date Considered

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN / _____, filed _____, 20_____, relied on under 35 USC §120.

*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN / _____, filed _____, 20_____, relied on under 35 USC §120.